



### CHCA Quoted in the Media

Last week, a California news outlet published [an article on AB 2098](#) that included two quotes from CHCA. We were excited to read the article stating: “According to California Health Coalition Advocacy: ‘Top doctors in their field from UCSF, Stanford, and other well respected institutions are speaking out about their lack of support for COVID-19 vaccines for children. Would these respected doctors be disciplined if AB 2098 were to pass?’”

The article continued, **“The understanding of the data and science related to COVID-19 continues to change as more studies are done,” California Health Coalition Advocacy said. ‘Standards of care are being updated as new information and treatments emerge. Any attempt at determining ‘contemporary scientific consensus’ will be fleeting.’”**

As part of our lobbying efforts, CHCA writes and submits thoughtful and compelling opposition letters to the legislature outlining concerns about current legislation. Sometimes excerpts from our letters are quoted in a bill analysis that is put out by legislative committee consultants and staff prior to a committee hearing. It is an honor to have excerpts that were quoted in a bill analysis also quoted in an article in the California Globe, [AB 2098: CA Doctors Who Spread COVID ‘Misinformation’ Risk Losing License to Practice.](#)

Engaging in the conversation on health freedom issues is a vital part of the work we are doing at the Capitol and we are excited to see our efforts get amplified by a news outlet.

### LAUSD Loses Lawsuit on COVID-19 Vaccine Mandate

[Judge rules in favor of father of 12-year-old LAUSD student who challenged COVID vaccine mandate \(msn.com\)](#) “After three months of hearing arguments and taking the case under submission, **Los Angeles Superior Court Judge Mitchell Beckloff found that the resolution approving the vaccine directive clashed with state law.**” In April of 2022, LAUSD made the decision to align with the state’s timeline regarding a COVID-

19 vaccine mandate and withdrew their vaccine requirement. But that was after many students and families were pressured and coerced into receiving a vaccine they did not want. This ruling makes it clear that LAUSD did not have the legal authority to instate a vaccine mandate and will hopefully prevent any other school district in the state from moving forward with COVID-19 vaccine requirements.

### **No Mask Requirement for Students and Staff**

On June 30, 2022, "COVID-19 Public Health Guidance for K-12 Schools to Support Safe In-Person Learning, 2022-2023 School Year" was published by the California Department of Public Health. **We are happy to share that the new guidance no longer includes a mask requirement for students and staff.** You can read an article titled [Masks Not Required for Students, Staff in State's COVID Guidance for School Year Ahead](#) that gives more details. Or, read the CDPH guidance here: [K-12 Guidance 2022-23 School Year \(ca.gov\)](#)

Regards,

*California Health Coalition Advocacy (CHCA)*

*Disclaimer Statement: Any reference to any person, or organization, or related activities do not constitute an endorsement by CHCA.*

### **UTAH Genetic Testing Privacy Act Amended** **Employers and Insurers May Not Require mRNA "Vaccination"** By [National Health Freedom Action](#)

#### **Let's Get Technical: From Genetic Test to Genetic Procedures**

On March 24, 2022, Utah's governor signed [SB144](#) into law. This bill contains amendments to the state's Genetic Testing Privacy Act which should prevent employers from requiring mRNA "vaccines" for workers or applicants. SB144 is a new approach to protecting folks from forced vaccination that appears to be a first in the nation. Instead of narrowly banning mandatory COVID-19 vaccines, these amendments make powerful changes to the state's genetic privacy statutory scheme.

Specifically, the original Utah Act defined DNA to include RNA and chromosomes; under the new amended law "DNA" also includes, "proteins, enzymes, or other molecules associated with a genetic process...." And the new law adds "a genetic procedure" to the existing ban on employers requiring a person to submit to a "genetic test." A genetic procedure is defined as any therapy or procedure intended to, "add, remove, alter, activate, change, or cause mutation" in a person's DNA or, "replace, supersede, or bypass a normal DNA function."

#### **Sponsor's Perspective Omits mRNA Issue**

At the hearing on this proposed law, the senate sponsor noted that the Act already provides "robust" protection for employers and insurance companies requesting genetic information. He pointed out that a key reason for the bill was to prepare for future

technologies, noting that CRISPR-style genetic modification of humans was likely to be done at some point in time. In the Sponsor's view, the "genetic procedure" definition would protect against such future dangers.

### **Activists' Point of View**

The Utah advocates promoting this bill used the language of genetics to safeguard medical privacy and preclude mandated mRNA vaccines. At a national level, we have the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008; it bans employers and insurance firms from discriminating based on genetic information. Utah's law did the same. But since Utah's definition of DNA included RNA, it had some implication for mRNA vaccines. The original Utah law only precluded mandatory "testing." Now, mandatory "genetic procedures" such as introducing genetic material into the body will also be precluded.

Utah is now a state where neither private nor public employers can make undergoing a genetic procedure as a condition of employment. The new law includes a \$100,000 fine for intentional violation. And since "damages" are included, this should open the door to a lawsuit for an mRNA vaccine injury. And while not necessary for protections under this law, activists point to numerous studies showing that we have no way of knowing whether these vaccines are changing a person's DNA. They point to evidence that mRNA-type compounds can in fact change our DNA and note that no research has been done to show that a vaccine's genetic information does not "integrate" into the DNA.

### **The Way Forward**

Utah's success in passing these genetic protection amendments should be an inspiration to activists around the nation: while many people assume that something called a "vaccine" should be safe, they may have a change of heart when they are educated to the fact that mRNA vaccines are in fact a genetic procedure. Many states already have some form of genetic privacy protection law which could be amended. And states without such a law can borrow language from the Utah Act and amendments to craft protection in their own state. The law is so new that challenges on the merits are not available. But activists note that, "Even if states have laws allowing mandatory vaccination, it is likely that they will have a hard time preventing laws that block genetic tampering of people. Anyone who opposes such a law is guilty of allowing humans to be made into GMO's." (Utah activist June 2022)

## **Legislative Update**

### **CHCA OPPOSES**

ACTIVE BILLS - Take Action on these bills using our [Take Action Toolkits](#).

[AB 1797](#) Immunization registry. Passed in the Assembly. In Senate. Passed the Senate Health Committee and Senate Education Committee. In Senate Appropriations Committee.

[AB 2098](#) Physicians and surgeons: unprofessional conduct. Passed in the Assembly. In Senate. Passed Senate Business, Professions & Economic Development committee. In Senate Appropriations Committee.

[SB 866](#) Minors: vaccine consent. Passed in the Senate. In Assembly. Passed the Assembly Judiciary committee, amended on June 16, 2022, and awaiting Assembly floor vote.

[SB 1419](#) Health information. Passed in the Senate. In Assembly. Referred to Health committee and Judiciary committee. Passed Assembly Health committee. Withdrawn from the Judiciary committee. In Assembly Appropriations Committee.

[SB 1479](#) COVID-19 testing in schools: COVID-19 testing plans. Passed in the Senate. In Assembly. Passed Assembly Health committee and Education committee. In Assembly Appropriations Committee.

### **PULLED OR ON HOLD**

[AB 1993](#) Employment: COVID-19 vaccination requirements. Pulled from hearing by the author. New hearing date has not been set. This bill has been referred to Assembly Labor and Employment committee and Assembly Judiciary committee.

[SB 871](#) Public health: immunizations. Referred to Senate Health Committee and Senate Education Committee. Author has put the bill on hold.

[SB 920](#) Medical Board of California: investigations: record requests. Author pulled the bill.

[SB 1464](#) Law enforcement: public health orders. Author pulled the bill from hearing for the third time and his office has confirmed he is holding the bill. No new hearing date has been set.

[SB 1390](#) Social media platforms: amplification of harmful content. Author pulled the bill from hearing.

### **CHCA SUPPORTS**

[AB 1809](#) Nursing Facility Resident Informed Consent Protection Act of 2022. Passed in the Assembly. In Senate. Passed Senate Health Committee. In Senate Appropriations Committee.

[AB 1817](#) Product safety: textile articles: perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) Passed in the Assembly. In Senate. Passed the Environmental Quality Committee.

[AB 2146](#) Neonicotinoid pesticides: prohibited nonagricultural use. Passed in the Assembly. In Senate. Passed the Environmental Quality committee. In Senate Appropriations committee.

[AB 2771](#) Cosmetic products: safety. Passed in the Assembly. In Senate. Passed the Senate Environmental Quality Committee and Senate Health committee.

### **Upcoming Legislative Deadlines**

July 1 - July 31 Summer Recess

Aug. 1 Legislature reconvenes from Summer Recess

Aug. 12 Last day for fiscal committees to meet and report bills

Aug. 15 - 31. Floor session only.

Aug. 25 Last day to amend bills on the floor

Aug. 31 Last day for each house to pass bills. Final Recess begin upon adjournment

## **GOAL: 500 Monthly Donors of \$25**

Together we can make a difference. **CHCA is working hard every day to advocate for the freedom of Californians to make health decisions based on their own research, experience, and the advice of their health care professionals.** Please consider making a generous recurring donation to help meet monthly challenges. Join others that have already signed on to become monthly donors. Thank you in advance.

(916) 572-4465

[CaliforniaHealthCoalitionAdvocacy.org](http://CaliforniaHealthCoalitionAdvocacy.org)

California Health Coalition Advocacy initiates and influences laws and policies to expand, protect, and promote the health and well-being of Californians. This includes the right to access, consent to, and refuse products, services, treatments, information, education, exposures, and environments.

**CHCA** is a 501(c)(4) and donations are not tax deductible.